

**Public Health Graduate Group
Policies and Procedures**

Revised: May 20, 2021

Graduate Council Approval: July 9, 2021

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1	Aims and Scope	3
1.2	Graduate Division Policies and Procedures	3
1.3	General Committees.....	3
2.	Doctoral Degree Requirements	5
2.1	Admissions Process	5
2.2	Admission Requirements	6
2.3	Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)	6
2.4	Structure of the Program	7
2.5	Components.....	7
2.6	Course Requirements	8
2.7	2nd Year Paper Requirements	10
2.8	Qualifying Examination and Candidacy Requirements.....	11
2.9	Dissertation Requirements	12
2.10	Special Requirements	14
2.11	Advising Structure and Mentoring.....	15
2.12	Doctoral Degree Committees	18
2.13	Normative Time to Degree.....	19
2.14	Typical Timeline and Sequence of Events.....	19
2.15	Sources of Funding	20
2.16	Leaving the Program Prior to Completion of the PhD Requirements	20
3.	General Information	21
3.1	PELP, In Absentia and Filing Fee status	21

1. Introduction

1.1 Aims and Scope

Mission Statement: The mission of the Public Health Graduate Group at UC Merced is to promote and protect health in the San Joaquín Valley and the world through our research and the training of the next generation of public health professionals and leaders. Public Health at UC Merced is a vibrant and collegial intellectual community, marked by outstanding scholarship and participation in interdisciplinary communities of inquiry.

The Graduate Group in Public Health at UC Merced offers a degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Public Health. The doctoral program is full-time and is designed to train students in research careers in both higher education and public and private agencies outside of academia. Public Health Ph.D. students have the option to obtain a Master of Science in Public Health (MSPH) degree, either en route to the Ph.D. degree (non-terminal), or in lieu of a Ph.D. degree (terminal) if a student exits the graduate program prior to fulfilling the Ph.D. requirements.

As additional policies and procedures for the Graduate Group are discussed and voted on in Graduate Group meetings, approved policies and procedures (and any amendments thereto) will be added to the Policies & Procedures document on a once-annual basis.

1.2 Graduate Policies and Procedures

In addition to the Public Health Graduate Group policies and procedures contained herein, important information on campus-wide Graduate policies and procedures for faculty and students is contained within the Graduate Policies & Procedures Handbook (<https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>).

1.3 General Committees

The three general committees are the Executive Committee, the Curriculum & Education Policy Committee, and the Admissions/Fellowships Committee. Details on the formation, composition, roles, and responsibilities of each Committee can be found in the Public Health Graduate Group Bylaws (see Article V).

1.3.1 Executive Committee

The administration of the Graduate Group and its activities will be vested in the Graduate Group Executive Committee (GGEC). Formation, composition, roles and responsibilities of the Executive Committee are described in Article V of the Public Health Graduate Group Bylaws.

In each academic year, the Executive Committee is permitted to allocate a portion of annual Graduate Group funds ($\leq 1/4$ of the total budget, in increments of $\leq \$1,000$) at its discretion, without approval of the broader Graduate Group.

The Executive Committee will be responsible for making annual student nomination and award decisions on internal UC Merced Graduate Division fellowships (e.g., the campus-wide dissertation fellowships, the Central Valley dissertation fellowship, etc.). If a majority of GGEC members have a conflict of interest with respect to these fellowship decisions, or if a tied vote occurs, an ad hoc committee will be convened to make a decision.

The Executive Committee also makes a recommendation to the entire Graduate Group for how to distribute annual USAP funds. The Graduate Group then votes on the recommendation.

1.3.2 Curriculum and Education Policy Committee

The function of the Graduate Curriculum and Education Policy Committee is to take whatever action is necessary to act on behalf of the Group during the year to define and implement the program leading to the relevant graduate degree(s). The Committee is charged with establishing and maintaining documentation on the Public Health Graduate Group curriculum, and the Committee will periodically prepare for campus reviews of the Public Health Graduate Group, including the seven-year review. The Committee, in consultation with the Group Faculty, will

coordinate and document proposed changes in programmatic requirements of the Group program, and present proposed changes to the voting body and the Graduate Council.

The Committee will also review proposals for new graduate courses to be offered by the Public Health Graduate Group. This responsibility includes reviewing proposed course content, programmatic contribution, overlap with other courses, and resource implications, as well as evaluating whether the addition of the course might necessitate WSCUC Substantive Change Review of the program, as follows:

1. The Graduate Curriculum and Education Committee will convene once per semester to discuss proposed new courses. At least 3 weeks before the scheduled meeting, any newly proposed courses will be sent to the Chair of the Committee with the following information [program will:
 - Name of course and proposed units
 - Topic category: Methods or substantive
 - Writing intensive: Yes or no
 - A brief description of the course summary and objectives
2. The Chair then sends these proposed course forms to Committee members, so they have approx. 2 weeks prior to meeting to review the proposals. When the Committee reviews, they will weigh the following factors:
 - Existing curriculum requirements and courses taught
 - Number of faculty who could teach the course after it is developed
 - Number of courses the Public Health program currently offers in the methods or content/topic area; and, if it's a methods course, what proportion of courses are currently methods courses
 - For the faculty member who is proposing, the number of electives that are currently in their rotation to teach
3. Proposed courses recommended by majority vote of the committee will be circulated to the Grad Exec Committee for review and further discussion, before being circulated among the broader PH faculty for discussion during a faculty meeting. Proposed courses will be voted on by the faculty and the lead faculty of approved courses will be invited to develop CRFs to submit for campus approval.
4. The program's course configuration will be assessed based on (a) faculty's teaching schedules (as a general rule, Public Health faculty teach 2 undergrad/1 grad course and 1 elective out of 3), and (b) the general strategy that the Public Health program courses are comprised of 2/3rds substantive courses and 1/3rd methods courses.

Once approved, the Graduate Group Chair or their designee registers the Group's approval by completing the "Department" step in Curriculog. More details on campus-wide course approval policy can be found here: https://senate.ucmerced.edu/sites/senate.ucmerced.edu/files/page/documents/gc_course_approval_policy_june2020_0.pdf

Further details on the formation, administration, roles, and responsibilities of the Curriculum and Education Policy Committee can be found in the Public Health Graduate Group Bylaws (Article V, section 2). The Executive Committee may absorb the duties of the Curriculum and Education Policy Committee as needed.

1.3.3 *Admissions/Fellowships Committee*

The Admissions/Fellowship Committee is charged with the development of recruiting materials for the Graduate Group, reviewing recommendations for admissions, adhering to Graduate Division and departmental admissions policies and procedures, making recommendations for admissions to the Dean of the Graduate Division, and exploring graduate student support mechanisms. The Admissions/Fellowships Committee also recommends the allocation of intramural financial assistance to the GGEC, which makes the final decisions or recommendations to an awarding body as appropriate. Recommendations for new and continuing student fellowships are also made to

the Admissions/Fellowship Committee by faculty. The Admissions/Fellowship Committee forwards its recommendation to the GGEC, which makes the final decisions.

Further details on the formation, administration, roles, and responsibilities of the Admissions/Fellowships Committee can be found in the Public Health Graduate Group Bylaws (Article V, section 3).

2. Doctoral Degree Requirements

2.1 Admissions Process

The application fee is set and managed by Graduate Division. Application fee waivers may be available for some PhD program applicants. Students who are participants in the McNair Scholars program and other similar programs (see the full list at https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/sites/graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/files/page/documents/eligible_programs_fee waiver_0.pdf) are granted fee waivers automatically by Graduate Division. The Public Health Graduate Group has discretion to award application fee waivers to additional applicants, depending on budget availability. Requests for fee waivers should be submitted by prospective students to the Graduate Chair by January 15th, who then discusses them and reaches an agreement with the Executive Committee if funds are not available to grant all waiver requests.

All Public Health PhD program applications will be due online to the Graduate Division by January 15th. Late applications will not be accepted. The Admissions Committee Chair will notify all faculty members who have been identified as potential advisors for applicants. These faculty members will review individual applicants and provide a recommendation for admissions (admit, deny, waitlist, or abstain) based on their evaluation of the candidate's qualifications and appropriate fit with the program. Faculty members who are recommending to admit or waitlist a student with a GPA < 3.0 should provide a brief description explaining why the Graduate Division's minimum GPA should be waived.

The Admissions Committee Chair will review any applicants who did not select a public health faculty member (e.g., selected faculty outside of the department) and make recommendations for individual faculty review which are aligned with an applicant's research interests. The Admissions Committee will review applicants' materials who are recommended for admission by the faculty. Other applicants will be moved to the recommended "deny" list and their materials will not be further reviewed. At least two Admissions Committee members will review each candidate's application materials and provide a recommendation for admissions (admit, deny, waitlist) with notes. The Admissions Committee will meet to discuss the merits of each application and achieve consensus regarding an Admissions Committee Recommendation for the faculty. The Admissions Committee Chair will provide the Admissions Committee Recommendations to the Graduate Chair and Public Health Faculty for discussion. The Public Health Graduate Group will vote to finalize the program's recommendations for admissions (admit, deny, or waitlist) for each applicant. Reasons for denials should be documented (i.e., mismatch with program, low test scores relative to a standard baseline, preparation below program standards, incomplete file).

Internal Fellowship Nominations: Once the list has been finalized for admissions, the Admissions Committee will develop a list of fellowship recommendations based on applicants' scholarly and research accomplishments, statements/letters of recommendation, contributions to diversity, as well as specific fellowship criteria. The Graduate Group Chair will submit the final admissions recommendations and fellowship nominations to the Graduate Division.

Waitlist: The waitlist procedures are as follows:

1. The Graduate Group Chair will compile a list of admitted applicants who submitted their Statement of Intent to Register (SIR) and determine the number of openings available for an appropriate cohort size based on available financial support (e.g., available TA assignments, GSR funding).
2. The Graduate Group Chair will inform the Public Health Graduate Group of new student openings that become available.

3. The Public Health Graduate Group will vote to finalize the program's recommendations for admissions after discussing waitlisted applicants considering the merit of their application and advisor's workload.

2.2 Admission Requirements

Applicants must meet the minimum requirements for admission to graduate study at University of California, Merced. Campus policy regarding admissions to graduate study can be found in the Graduate Policies & Procedures handbook (<https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>).

The basic requirements for admissions are adequate preparations for successful graduate study, and academic objectives that can be reasonably satisfied within the specific programs. The Graduate Group and the Graduate Division evaluate applications for admission through assessment of official transcripts of previous academic work (to ensure the candidate has a minimum undergraduate GPA of 3.0 at an institution with degree standards equivalent to those of the University of California), three letters of recommendation, the results of the GRE test, and the applicant's Statement of Purpose. In addition to these minimum requirements, the Graduate Group Admissions Committee may also consider the applicant's: (1) academic preparation for the graduate curriculum; (2) intellectual capacity; (3) motivation and maturity (because of their strong relationship to performance); and (4) specific areas of academic interest. An applicant might be denied admission because of a lack of strength in any of the first four areas, or because the program does not match the applicant's interests in terms of focus and/or academic resources.

2.2.1 Coursework Deficiencies

Courses taken toward a graduate degree at another institution cannot be transferred for credit toward a Ph.D. at UC Merced. However, a course requirement may be waived if a similar course was taken at another institution. This course waiver process is outlined by the Graduate Division and can be found here: <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>

2.3 Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)

2.3.1 Ph.D. Program Learning Outcomes

1. Breadth – Demonstrate knowledge of the discipline of Public Health. Students will be able to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of Public Health, including phenomena at the biological, psychological, and social levels
2. Depth – Expertise in a specific scientific domain. Students will be able to apply their expertise in a specific subfield of Public Health and identify novel research questions within the context of current research.
3. Methods – Competency with multiple methodological approaches to conducting rigorous research on public health phenomena. Students will be able to design a study drawing upon both quantitative and qualitative methodological approaches and complete a study using a methodology appropriate to their research area.
4. Communication – Effective scientific communication skills, especially the ability to convey complex concepts and information in a clear and concise manner. Students will be able to communicate their knowledge of contemporary public health methods to diverse audiences.
5. Team Science (transdisciplinary research) – Understanding of team science roles and transdisciplinary approaches to addressing public health challenges. Students will use transdisciplinary approaches to address a pertinent public health challenge.
6. Independent research – The ability to initiate and conduct independent research that makes an original contribution to Public Health knowledge. Students will produce a variety of research of a quality that can be published in a peer-reviewed outlet.

7. Professionalism – Proficiency in the skills needed to participate in the intellectual and organizational aspects of the profession of Public Health. Students will become active members of the professional public health community, including attending and participating in conferences and other appropriate venues.

2.3.2 MSPH Program Learning Outcomes

1. Breadth – Demonstrate knowledge of the discipline of Public Health. Students will be able to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of Public Health, including phenomena at the biological, psychological, and social levels.
2. Depth – Mastery of a specific scientific domain. Students will be able to apply their knowledge in a specific subfield of Public Health to contribute to addressing a novel research question.
3. Methods – Competency with qualitative and quantitative methodological approaches to conducting rigorous research on public health phenomena. Students will be able to understand qualitative and quantitative approaches and complete a study using a methodology appropriate to their research area.
4. Communication – Effective scientific communication skills, especially the ability to convey complex concepts and information in a clear and concise manner. Students will be able to communicate their knowledge of contemporary social science methods through preparation of a peer-reviewable journal article.
5. Team Science (transdisciplinary research) – Understanding of team science roles and transdisciplinary approaches to addressing public health challenges. Students will understand the use of transdisciplinary approaches to address a pertinent public health challenge.
6. Professionalism – Proficiency in the skills needed to participate in the intellectual and organizational aspects of the profession of Public Health. Students will become active members in the professional public health community, including attending and participating in conferences and other appropriate venues.

2.4 Structure of the Program

Doctoral study in Public Health is focused on acquiring the conceptual and methodological skills necessary to operate as an independent researcher. In the UC Merced PhD program in Public Health, this is accomplished through a mentorship model in which students work closely with a supervising Faculty Advisor who has primary responsibility for overseeing that student's training. At the same time, students may broaden their research training through involvement in research programs conducted by other faculty. There are also numerous specific requirements. For example, students must complete a program of coursework, a 2nd year paper, a Candidacy Exam portfolio demonstrating professional skills in the discipline, and an empirical Doctoral Dissertation involving original research that contributes to knowledge in the field. These are only the major requirements and are not meant to be exhaustive. It is also expected that graduate students will contribute to and generate additional research, adding to the intellectual and organizational life of the department.

The Public Health doctoral program is designed for students intending to pursue a Ph.D. in Public Health. PhD students have the option to obtain a *Master of Science in Public Health* degree, either en route to a PhD degree or in lieu of a PhD degree if a student exits the graduate program prior to fulfilling the PhD requirements. More details are provided in section 2.10.1.

2.5 Components

2.5.1 Coursework

A minimum of 14 4-unit courses (56 units) of graduate (200 series) coursework are required for the PhD. PhD students are also required to have at least four semesters of full-time (defined as being enrolled in a minimum of 12 units) academic residence at UC Merced. More details are provided in section 2.6.

2.5.2 2nd year paper

By the end of the second year of study, PhD students are expected to complete the second year research paper, which consists of an empirical research project (i.e., comprising primary, secondary, or meta-analysis of data) taken from the conceptualization stage, through design, data collection, analysis, and write-up, and in the form of an empirical journal article. More details are provided in section 2.7.

2.5.3 Qualifying Exam

In order to demonstrate readiness to proceed to the dissertation phase, the student must pass the Qualifying Examinations by the end of the Spring semester of the student’s third year. Qualifying Examinations are intended to determine whether the student possesses the knowledge and skills needed to successfully complete a dissertation research project in their chosen areas of interest. The Qualifying Exam in Public Health consists of proposing, completing, and orally defending a substantial research paper in the student’s chosen topical area. More details are provided in section 2.8.

2.5.4 Dissertation

The dissertation process starts with the establishment of the Doctoral Committee (DC), which is done when recording Advancement to Candidacy. The Doctoral Candidate submits a dissertation proposal to the DC, and defends the proposal at an oral Proposal Defense meeting. If the proposal is passed by the DC, the student undertakes and completes the proposed research. Having completed the research, the student must submit a final written Dissertation to the DC, and defend the Dissertation at an oral Dissertation Defense meeting, which constitutes the Final Examination for the Ph.D. More details are provided in section 2.9.

2.5.5 Special Requirements

The Public Health PhD program requires all graduate students pursuing the Ph.D. to acquire teaching experience at the post-secondary level under faculty supervision, for no less than two semesters. This requirement is usually satisfied by appointment as a Teaching Assistant or Teaching Fellow in undergraduate courses. More details are provided in section 2.10.

2.6 Course Requirements

A total of 56 units of coursework are required for the PhD.

2.6.1 Core Courses (total 24 units)

Typically, 24 of the 56 required units will come from six required core courses, shown in the table below.

Course Number	Course Name	Units
PH 201	Foundations in PH	4
PH 202	Epidemiology	4
PH 211 (Stats I) and PH 212 (Stats II)	PH Stats I and II	8
PH 203	Research Methods	4
PH 208a & 208b (counted as 1 course taught over 2 semesters)	Professional seminar	4

Students who have earned a Master of Public Health (MPH) or Master of Science in Public Health (MSPH) are automatically waived out of *PH 201: Foundations of Public Health*. These students also have the option of waiving out of other core courses if they have previously taken an equivalent course, and the following conditions are met:

- The content of the equivalent course has >80% overlap with the UC Merced course for which the waiver is requested. Course content overlap is assessed using the course syllabi provided with the request.
- The course has been taken within the last five years
- The course was completed with a B (or class GPA of 3.0) or higher

The waiver will NOT reduce the minimum number of course credits (i.e., 56) to be taken as part of the degree program. The process for a student to request a waiver is found within the Graduate Policies and Procedures Handbook.

Students must receive a passing grade (a B or higher) in all core courses. Students receiving a failing grade in these courses must repeat the course and receive a passing grade. Students may, in collaboration with their Faculty Advisor, determine the pace of coursework that is appropriate. However, the core requirements must be met in the first year of residence at UC Merced unless a required course is not offered during the first year. At least ten 4-unit courses (or 40 units), including all core required courses, must be completed before advancing to candidacy.

To ensure consistency in training, the following core courses cannot be waived:

- Epidemiology (PH 202)
- Research methods (PH 203)
- The Professionalization Seminar (PH 208a & 208b)

Students may petition the Graduate Group's Education and Curriculum Committee to substitute a different statistics class or classes. Such a class or classes must cover some part of linear models and must be approved by the instructor of record of the statistics class(es) to be substituted.

2.6.2 *Advanced Methods and Substantive Courses*

The remaining 32 (of 56) units will come from a combination of Advanced Methods courses and substantive/elective courses.

- **Advanced Methods:** One advanced methods course (4 units; choose from PH213 Advanced Biostatistics, PH215 Qualitative Methods, or PH2XX Evaluation)
- **Substantive/elective courses:** Seven courses (28 units), five of which must be taken within Public Health. Relevant Public Health courses that fall under this category include but are not limited to: PH204 Environmental Health, PH205 Health Services Research and Policy, PH216 Health Policy, PH206 Health Communication, PH207 Health Behavior Theory, PH220 Environmental Epi, PH209A/B Grant Writing, and PH290 (revolving topics). As the department grows, new course options will be introduced.
 - Additional Advanced Methods courses taken (beyond the one required) will count towards this requirement.
 - Two of the seven required substantive courses may be taken in departments outside Public Health. Credit will not be given for more than 2 courses taken outside of the Public Health department.
 - Directed reading courses (i.e., PH294 units) may count for up to 8 substantive course units if the following conditions are met:
 1. The coursework is facilitated by a Public Health faculty member (core or affiliate),

2. A complete syllabus is prepared for the course, for submission to the Graduate Group's Education and Curriculum Committee for approval before the last day of the Add/Drop period of the semester in which the course will be taken, and
3. There is no equivalent formally approved course anticipated to be offered at UC Merced within the student's first 3 years of study.
4. To sign up for independent study credit, students should use the Independent Study form, available at: https://registrar.ucmerced.edu/sites/registrar.ucmerced.edu/files/page/documents/independent_study_ay.pdf. The "Graduate" option should be selected on the form, and PH294 can be indicated on the "other" line under "Specify one course number from the following." Instructor permission can be obtained by attaching an approval email to the submission, which should be emailed to registrar@ucmerced.edu, copying the PH Graduate Specialist and ssha.grad@ucmerced.edu.
 - PH295 research units may not count towards the 56 required units. It is expected that students will conduct research separate from their coursework as part of their doctoral training.

2.6.3 Summary

24 units of core coursework and 32 units of additional coursework (comprising advanced methods coursework and substantive courses) are required for a total of 56 units. Full-time students must enroll for 12 units per semester including research, academic and seminar units. Per UC regulations, ordinarily students shall not receive credits for more than 12 units of graduate level courses per semester.

2.7 2nd Year Paper Requirements

The student does not necessarily need not be the originator of the 2nd Year Paper research idea, but must be an active participant in all steps, and the write-up must be sole authored by the student; other authors may be added to a later published version of the paper, as appropriate, and the order of authorship on such a subsequent publication may change. The project should typically be completed by the end of the second year of study (typically the end of the Spring semester); it *must* be completed and submitted for review by the Faculty Advisory Committee prior to commencement of the 3rd year of the program (i.e., by the end of the summer following the 2nd year of graduate study), and prior to advancing to candidacy. If a full draft is not submitted by this time, the student will be required to convene a Faculty Advisory Committee meeting at the end of that fall semester and will receive an Unsatisfactory Progress or Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress evaluation.

The 2nd year paper will be conducted in close collaboration with the Faculty Advisor; students should ensure that the Advisor concurs with decisions made at all stages of the project. The 2nd year paper will be evaluated by the members of the Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC). Upon submission of the final paper, the FAC have at least 14 but no more than 28 days to evaluate the paper and make a recommendation as to whether the 2nd year paper requirement has been satisfied. [Note: students are expected to give a brief presentation (10-15 minutes) of their second year papers at August orientation of incoming doctoral students.]

The recommendation will be for the student to be awarded an MSPH and continue onto the pre-candidacy phase (PhD Pass), to be awarded an MSPH but not be recommended for the pre-candidacy phase (Master's Pass), or to not be awarded an MSPH (Fail). In the event that the 2nd year paper is awarded a Masters Pass or a Fail, the student will be given the opportunity to revise the paper. A written request for revisions will be provided to the student. Students will be expected to undertake the requested revisions and resubmit the paper to the FAC within 6 weeks. The FAC will then re-evaluate the paper, and provide a revised assessment. In the event that the student and the FAC do not agree on the acceptability of the 2nd year paper, the Chair of the Public Health Graduate Group will make the final decision.

2.8 Qualifying Examination and Candidacy Requirements

Graduate students are considered resident graduates, not candidates for a degree, unless admitted to candidacy by the Graduate Division after formal application and satisfactory completion of candidacy requirements. Candidacy requirements comprise:

- Demonstrating a high level of scholarship in full-time study (a minimum of 12 units per semester including research hours) at the PhD level, for at least 4 semesters,
- Completing at least ten 4-unit courses (or 40 units), including:
 - All core required courses (24 units),
 - At least four elective courses (or 16 units),
- A minimum cumulative grade point average of 3.0,
- Passing the Qualifying Exam, which demonstrates readiness to proceed to the dissertation phase.

The Qualifying Exam must be administered by the FAC (candidacy stage) during the 3rd year of graduate study. Failure to do so must be addressed in the student's next review (described in Section 2.11.2), which must then involve the student's entire FAC. Students should submit the Graduate Division's *Application for Qualifying Examination* form (available at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/faculty-staff-resources/forms-publications>), with all committee members' signatures, at least one month prior to the completion of the Qualifying Exam. In the event that the student does not pass their qualifying examinations in the Spring of his or her third year, he/she may retake them one time prior to the beginning of the 4th year of graduate study.

Qualifying Examinations are intended to determine whether the student possesses the knowledge and skills needed to successfully complete a dissertation research project in their chosen areas of interest. The Qualifying Exam in Public Health consists of proposing, completing, and orally defending a substantial research paper in the student's chosen topical area. Completion of the written paper constitutes the written portion of the Qualifying Exam. This paper shall represent a review of the student's topical area and prepare the student for the dissertation research, and be of *publishable quality*. As such the paper must be novel and advance knowledge in the field. It is expected to be longer than a typical course or seminar paper at 35 to 45 double-spaced pages in length, not counting references (11 or 12 pt. font, 1-inch margin). The student is encouraged to connect this paper to the planned dissertation, such that it creates the foundation for and serves in part as the background and rationale for the Dissertation Proposal. [Note: The Qualifying Exam paper is *not* a section of the dissertation.]

As a written exam, the qualifying paper must be solely authored by the student when submitted to the FAC. After completion of the Qualifying Exam, other authors may be added to a later version for submission for publication, as appropriate. Before writing the Qualifying Paper, the student must prepare and obtain approval for a proposal of the paper of approximately 5-8 double spaced pages (not including references, 11 or 12 pt. font, 1-inch margins). This typically follows a process of discussions with the Faculty Advisor to ensure appropriate direction. The proposal should present the objectives of the Qualifying Paper, the rationale for why the topic is novel and will contribute to knowledge in a field, and an outline of the sections planned for the Qualifying Paper, including a list of the most relevant references. The proposal is disseminated to the members of the FAC who then meet with the student as a committee to discuss the proposal. The proposal must be approved by the FAC before the student commences writing the paper. Plan for the process to develop the proposal and the paper to take several months. Plan for the equivalent of three months of full-time work to be needed to complete the Qualifying Paper, after the proposal has been accepted by the FAC.

There is also an oral portion of the exam. The FAC has at least 14 but no more than 28 days to review the Qualifying Paper, and then meets with the student for the oral portion of the examination, in accordance with university and graduate group requirements. The student should schedule a minimum 2-hour block of time for the meeting. During the oral exam, at the discretion of the FAC, the student may first present a brief (20-30 minute) overview of the paper. The FAC will then discuss the subject addressed in the review with the student. The

student is expected to be able to answer questions on all aspects of the subject to the satisfaction of the FAC. Following the completion of the oral exam, the FAC makes a recommendation whether to pass the student on the Qualifying Exam. The FAC recommendation is by unanimous vote, leading to one of the following recommendations:

1. *Pass*: Oral and written portions of the Qualifying Exam are passed, and the student may Advance to Candidacy.
2. *Minor Revisions*: The oral portion of the Qualifying Exam is passed, and the written portion passed pending minor revisions, which must be approved by the Faculty Advisor and any Committee member wishing to review such changes. The timeline for revisions will be determined by the FAC at the time the decision is made.
3. *Major Revisions*: The oral or written portion of the Qualifying Exam is not passed. The student must revise the Qualifying Paper considering any FAC feedback and resubmit the paper within 6 weeks to make and submit those revisions, and the FAC will then have at least 14 but no more than 28 days to review the revisions. A second oral exam must then be held with the student, after which the FAC makes a recommendation on whether to pass the student on the Qualifying Exam. A failure to oral pass either the written or oral portion of this second exam must be addressed in the student's next Review Progress Report (see below), which must then involve the student's entire FAC. Any further examination must have the approval of the Graduate Dean.

When the Qualifying Exam is passed, both the written and oral portions, the student is recommended for Advancement to Candidacy as reported to the Graduate Division on the *Qualifying Examination Report* (<https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/faculty-staff-resources/forms-publications>). This form must be signed by all FAC members. This form is also submitted if the student failed the examination. If the recommendation of the FAC is favorable, the student must file the appropriate paperwork (*Advance to Candidacy for the Degree of Doctor Philosophy Form* and *Conflict of Interest Form*) with the Graduate Division and pay the candidacy fee in order to be officially promoted to Ph.D. Candidacy.

2.9 Dissertation Requirements

The Doctoral Dissertation is the culmination of the PhD program, in which the Doctoral Candidate demonstrates the capability to conduct research independently that makes an original contribution to knowledge of a quality that can be published in a reputable scientific journal. The planning and completion of the Dissertation is supervised by the Doctoral Committee Chair, who usually is the Candidate's Faculty Advisor. The student's FAC (Doctoral stage) approves the Dissertation proposal and evaluates whether the Dissertation has been completed in accordance with high scientific standards.

In overview, the dissertation process starts with the establishment of the Doctoral-stage FAC, which is done when recording Advancement to Candidacy. The Doctoral Candidate submits a dissertation proposal to the Doctoral-stage FAC and defends the proposal at an oral Proposal Defense meeting. This should usually be done within six months of Advancing to Candidacy. If the proposal is passed by the FAC, the student undertakes and completes the proposed research. Having completed the research, the student must submit a final written Dissertation to the FAC, and defend the Dissertation at an oral defense meeting. This Dissertation Defense constitutes the Final Examination for the PhD; at the conclusion of the Defense, the Committee votes on whether to approve the Dissertation. These stages are presented in more detail below.

2.9.1 Dissertation Proposal

The Dissertation Proposal serves three primary functions. First, it reviews the relevant literature and in so doing defines the area of inquiry of the proposed Dissertation. The Qualifying Exam paper is intended to enable the preparation of this portion. Second, it provides a clear statement of actionable research aims, questions, and/or hypotheses that will be addressed in the Dissertation. Third, it outlines the methodological and analytic approach that will enable the proposed research to address these aims, questions, and/or hypotheses. The Dissertation

Proposal should be approximately 20 double-spaced pages, not including references and appendices. The Candidate works with the Faculty Advisor until the latter deems the Dissertation Proposal of enough quality to pass to the Doctoral-stage FAC. Committee members should make every effort to provide such feedback in a timely fashion but should inform the student promptly if they will be unable to provide such informal feedback. When the finalized Dissertation Proposal is submitted, the FAC should have at least 7 but no more than 14 days in which to review it prior to the Proposal Defense Meeting.

Proposal Defense Meeting: The Candidate must consult with the FAC to schedule the Proposal Defense Meeting, at which all members of the committee must be present in person (or via conference call under extenuating circumstances such as a Committee member being on sabbatical or off-site). The Candidate should schedule a minimum 2-hour block of time for the meeting. At the Proposal Defense Meeting, the Candidate will present an overview of the proposed research. The Committee will then discuss the proposed research with the Candidate. At the conclusion of the Proposal Defense Meeting, the Candidate will be asked to leave, and the FAC will deliberate on whether to pass the proposal. The Committee recommendation is by majority vote with no more than one dissenting vote, leading to one of the following recommendations:

- *Pass:* The proposal is passed, and the Candidate may commence with the proposed research.
- *Minor Revisions:* The proposal is passed pending minor revisions, which upon completion must be approved by the Faculty Advisor and any Committee member wishing to review such changes.
- *Major Revisions:* The proposal is not passed because major substantive or methodological issues need to be addressed. The Candidate must revise the proposal considering committee feedback and resubmit the proposal within three months. At that time, the Candidate must reschedule the Proposal Defense Meeting and complete it satisfactorily before undertaking any dissertation research. Failure to complete it satisfactorily at a second defense must be addressed in the student's next Review, which must then involve the student's entire FAC and will potentially result in an Unsatisfactory Progress evaluation.

2.9.2 *Dissertation*

Following successful defense of the Dissertation Proposal, the Doctoral Candidate undertakes and completes the proposed research under the supervision of the Doctoral-stage FAC. Although there are no set criteria for dissertation length or content, students are expected to produce a body of work that contains a thorough review of the literature, theoretical innovations, novel data collection and/or analysis, and at least three substantive chapters. One of two formats is typically used. Dissertations should be convertible to one book or three related, but separable articles. The format of the Dissertation manuscript should be approved by all members of the FAC, subject to any requirements by the Public Health Graduate Group, the Graduate School, and the University Archives. Candidates prepare the manuscript under the supervision of the Faculty Advisor who requests revisions until s/he judges that the work is ready to be reviewed by the remaining Committee members. For additional details regarding expectations, see the [UC Merced Thesis and Dissertation Guidelines](#).

Once the dissertation document is complete in the opinion of the student and his or her Faculty Advisor, the Candidate circulates the Dissertation among FAC members, allowing for a reasonable time frame for the Committee members to read and review. The FAC should provide comments, possibly leading to another revision before the final submission and the scheduling of the Dissertation Defense. FAC members should provide these comments in a timely fashion. The complete Dissertation must be provided to the FAC members at least 14 days prior to the scheduled defense.

2.9.3 *Final Examination (Defense)*

Once all members of the FAC have read the dissertation and agreed that it is ready to be defended, the student is expected to negotiate with the members of the FAC and schedule a date and time for the defense. Announcement of the oral defense time and location will be made in appropriate forums such as an email list serve or a Public Health bulletin board. All members of the FAC must attend the thesis defense in person (or via conference call or videoconference under extenuating circumstances, such as a FAC member being at a distant site).

The defense of the Dissertation is a capstone event in the student's graduate career. It consists of an oral presentation of the Dissertation research by the candidate, followed by questions. This portion of the defense is open to the public. The Candidate is tasked with responding to the questions clearly and coherently. At the conclusion of the public portion of the defense, the Candidate and FAC will dismiss the public in order to discuss the dissertation in private. At the conclusion of the committee questions, the Candidate is excused, and the FAC deliberates on the quality of the written dissertation and the student's performance during the defense. The committee makes one of the following recommendations:

- *Pass*: The dissertation and defense are of enough quality to warrant the awarding of a PhD degree from the University of California. The committee recommendation for passage must be unanimous.
- *Minor Revisions*: The Dissertation is passed pending minor revisions, which upon completion must be approved by the Faculty Advisor and any FAC member wishing to review such changes. Upon approval the Candidate is awarded the PhD.
- *Major Revisions*: The Dissertation is not passed because major substantive or methodological issues need to be addressed. The Candidate must revise the Dissertation considering FAC feedback and resubmit the Dissertation within 6 weeks. At that time, the Candidate must reschedule the Defense Meeting and complete it satisfactorily to receive a recommendation of Pass.

If the Doctoral-stage FAC recommends awarding the PhD degree, Committee members must sign the *Final Report for the PhD Degree* (available at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/faculty-staff-resources/forms-publications>), the conferral of the PhD, subject to final submission of the approved Dissertation for deposit in the University Archives (see *Graduate Policies & Procedures Handbook*, Sect. VII.B.8, available at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>).

2.10 Special Requirements

2.10.1 MSPH degree along the way

The Public Health Graduate Program is designed for students interested in pursuing a PhD in Public Health. PhD students have the option to obtain a *Master of Science in Public Health* (MSPH) degree, either en route to a PhD degree or in lieu of a PhD degree if a student exits the graduate program prior to fulfilling the PhD requirements. The advancement-to-candidacy course requirements satisfy the course requirements for the MSPH degree. The Second Year Paper at the PhD Pass or Master's Pass level will serve as the Master's capstone project.

Requirements to receive the optional MSPH:

- Complete at least four semesters of academic residency at UCM
- Complete the core courses: PH201, PH202, PH 203, PH 208a, PH 208b, PH 211 and PH212
- Complete 4 elective courses (16 units of coursework), which can but are not required to include an Advanced Methods course
- Have a cumulative GPA no lower than 3.0
- Receive at least a Master's level "pass" on the Second Year Paper

Students who choose the MSPH degree en route to their Ph.D. (non-terminal) need to complete the Graduate Division form *Final Report for Master's Degree* following the successful completion the Spring semester of their 2nd year of study. All other MSPH requirements will have been met at this point and the MSPH degree will be awarded at the end of the Spring semester, provided they meet the above criteria. Links to the form and detailed instructions on how to submit it can be found in the Public Health Graduate Student Handbook.

Students who opt for a terminal MSPH degree in lieu of the PhD degree should inform their Faculty Advisor and the Graduate Group Chair of this decision prior to the start of their terminal semester (typically the Spring

Semester of their 2nd year). Students must complete the relevant portions of the Graduate Division form “Application for Advancement to Candidacy” at this time.

2.10.2 *Teaching Requirement*

The Public Health PhD program requires all graduate students pursuing the Ph.D. to acquire teaching experience at the post-secondary level under faculty supervision, for *no less than two semesters*. This requirement is usually satisfied by appointment as a Teaching Assistant or Teaching Fellow in undergraduate courses. For more information, consult the Academic Appointment and Graduate Student Employment section in the Graduate Policies and Procedures Handbook.

The TA for the course is responsible for performing teaching or teaching-related duties to assist the instructor in the course. The TA should expect to devote **up to 20 hours per week, on average**, sometimes more in any given week, sometimes less. TA evaluations are to be completed by the instructor of record and sent to both the Department Chair and Graduate Group Chair by the start of the following semester. Expectations when serving as a TA are detailed in the PH Graduate Student Handbook.

Additional policies:

- Students who have advanced to candidacy may also satisfy two semesters of serving as a TA by teaching one full course of their own design (see below). It is expected that many students will, in fact, exceed these minimums and thus accrue even more teaching experience. A student may request a waiver of the TA requirement, partially or completely, by petitioning his/her FAC that they have completed an alternative equivalent teaching experience (e.g., TA at a prior institution, community college instructor).
- After advancing to candidacy (i.e., passing the Candidacy Exam), a student may serve as Teaching Associate (the Instructor of Record) for pay. Having advanced to candidacy however does not guarantee an assignment as a Teaching Associate or Fellow as this depends on the availability of courses to teach. Moreover, to be prepared to serve as a Teaching Associate or Fellow and teach a full course in Public Health, the student *must* complete and pass the Teaching Preparation option of the Candidacy Exam.
- The Graduate Division has several rules that affect when a graduate student may serve as a Teaching Associate or a Teaching Fellow. The graduate student will typically be a Teaching Associate or Fellow for a lower division course. However, on an exception basis, a graduate student, upon recommendation from an academic unit, may be assigned an upper-division course or course section with the written approval of the Graduate Dean and the UCM Academic Senate’s Graduate and Undergraduate Councils. Such approval must be obtained in writing prior to the student beginning their assignment/appointment. A graduate student will also typically be a Teaching Associate or Fellow for a summer course so as not to unduly interfere with their academic year studies. Again, however, exceptions may be granted. Finally, Graduate Division rules limit graduate students to a maximum of 14 semesters as a Teaching Assistant or Teaching Associate. Summer appointments are excluded from these limits.

2.11 Advising Structure and Mentoring

2.11.1 *Advising Structure*

A graduate student is expected to have a faculty advisor at all times during their graduate studies. The graduate chair is the default academic advisor for all incoming doctoral students. It is the responsibility of the student to secure a Faculty Advisor by the end of the spring semester of the first year. The Faculty Advisor must be a member of the Public Health Graduate Group. The student’s selection is approved by the Public Health Graduate Group Chair. The student and the Faculty Advisor will regularly interact and together develop research projects that will lead to a focus to be pursued in the student’s Doctoral Dissertation. The Faculty Advisor, in the role of a mentor, plans strategies that will support the development of required competencies and provides ongoing informal feedback regarding the student’s progress. In addition, the Faculty Advisor conducts a formal evaluation of the student’s progress in the program at least annually (see Section 2.11.2). Therefore, each graduate student

must always have a recognized Faculty Advisor who agrees to take on this important role. The Faculty Advisor is Chair of the student's FAC and oversees the student's progress in the doctoral program.

The Public Health program has adopted the Graduate Council-approved Mentoring Guidelines, which can be found at https://senate.ucmerced.edu/sites/senate.ucmerced.edu/files/page/documents/ucm_mentoring_guidelines-gc_approved_9_23_14.pdf. For additional U.C. Merced resources on conflict resolution, consult the materials found on the university's Conflict and Crisis Resolution website (part of the Office of Campus Climate) at <https://conflict.ucmerced.edu/>, and on the Office of Student Rights and Responsibilities website (<https://osrr.ucmerced.edu/>).

2.11.2 Evaluation of Student Progress

Annual Review Process: Student progress will be evaluated on an annual basis. To this end, the student prepares a cumulative Progress Report prior to the end of the spring semester. The Progress Report must be reviewed by the student's FAC, which is chaired by the Faculty Advisor. At this meeting, feedback is provided to the student both orally and in writing. Annual Faculty Review continues until the student has completed an approved Doctoral Dissertation. A fall semester Progress Report and student/FAC meeting (taking place in December or early January) may be convened in any year by request of the student, Faculty Advisor, or other member of the FAC. A fall semester Progress Report and FAC meeting *must* be conducted if the student has previously received an Unsatisfactory or Potentially Unsatisfactory evaluation, has not submitted their 2nd year thesis by the required deadline, or has failed their 2nd year thesis, Qualifying Exam, or Dissertation Proposal.

On or about May 15th of each Spring Semester, the Graduate Group faculty will meet to discuss the evaluation of all graduate students.

As part of each student's annual review, a determination must be made whether the student's progress on the whole is More Than Satisfactory, Satisfactory, Potentially Unsatisfactory, or Unsatisfactory. This determination is then clearly communicated in written form and signed by the faculty member(s) who completed the review. The student also signs the evaluation indicating understanding of the evaluation and is given one copy. The original is placed in the student's file.

- *More Than Satisfactory Progress:* An evaluation of More Than Satisfactory academic progress should be reserved for students whose progress has substantially exceeded expectations appropriate for their stage in the program, as determined from the student's recent academic record and overall performance. Students whose progress is within range of normative expectations should be given a determination of Satisfactory Progress.
- *Satisfactory Progress:* Satisfactory progress is determined based on both the student's recent academic record and overall performance. Satisfactory Progress is more than simply avoiding displaying any of the specific behaviors listed below as indicative of Unsatisfactory Progress. It is a subjective judgment made by the Faculty Advisor and members of the FAC based on the quality, quantity, and timeliness of performance in research as well as the other activities described in the Graduate Student Handbook. The Faculty Advisor is expected to communicate their standards in these respects on a continual basis. These standards also provide the framework for the Annual Reviews.
- *Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress:* Potentially unsatisfactory progress is *in part* determined with reference to the Unsatisfactory Progress criteria. Students deemed at risk of meeting ≥ 1 of these criteria may be judged as making potentially unsatisfactory progress. See first paragraph in this section for a description of the consequences of a Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress evaluation.
- *Unsatisfactory Progress:* Unsatisfactory academic progress is *in part* determined based on explicit requirements, including those outlined in the UC Merced Graduate Division's *Graduate Policies & Procedures Handbook* (Sect. VI.A.2.1) and reproduced here:
 - An overall grade point average below 3.0; or
 - A grade point average below 3.0 in two successive semesters; or

- Fewer than 8 units completed and applicable toward the advanced degree requirements in the last two semesters; or
- Failure to complete required courses or examinations satisfactorily within the period specified by the Graduate Group; or
- Failure to pass Candidacy or Dissertation Final Examination in two attempts; or
- Failure to progress academically within the Normal Time to Degree framework specified for the student's Graduate Group; or
- The appropriate faculty committee's evaluation that there has not been satisfactory progress toward completion of the thesis or dissertation.

Note, however, that the professional judgment of the faculty assigned the role to evaluate the student, upon review of all graduate work undertaken by that student, *is paramount*. Faculty may establish more restrictive criteria than the above minimum criteria.

A judgment of Unsatisfactory Progress can have significant negative consequences for a graduate student. A student who has a GPA less than 3.0 in a single semester is at risk of being disqualified from getting an assistantship unless the employing unit wants them to continue to be employed; in that case, the Graduate Group Chair asks the Dean of the Graduate Division to make an exception. A student who has a D or F in a single course is disqualified from getting an assistantship. A grade of C in a single course is disqualifying unless the employing unit wants them to continue to be employed; in that case, the Graduate Group Chair asks the Dean of the Graduate Division to make an exception. A graduate student who has not demonstrated satisfactory academic progress by any of the other criteria listed above is not eligible for any academic appointment or employment, such as a Teaching Assistantship, and may not receive fellowship support or other awards. The Graduate Division delegates the monitoring of student performance regarding these rules to the Graduate Group and the Registrar.

Communication of Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress: It is important to give students an early warning of potentially unsatisfactory progress. The Annual Review specified above is a minimum. In addition, Faculty Advisors are encouraged to be direct in communicating orally, and in writing as necessary, with students demonstrating difficulties as soon as possible and on a continual basis. For example, course instructors are encouraged to engage in this communication, and to inform the Faculty Advisor when a student is experiencing difficulties during a course, and not wait until the end.

It is useful for the Faculty Advisor to keep a written record of all such communications. When notices of potential unsatisfactory progress are provided in writing to the student, a copy should also be retained in the Public Health Graduate Group files and another copy sent to the Graduate Dean. The written communication should include specific details on areas that require improvement, provide an outline for future expectations of academic progress, and set meeting dates to maintain continuity in advisement. The purpose of the notice of potentially unsatisfactory progress is to provide the student with a reasonable period of time (usually at least one academic semester) in which to make the necessary improvement in their academic status, and successfully complete their graduate study.

In the case of a formal determination of Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress following an Annual Review, criteria must be specified in writing detailing what the student will need to achieve to be removed from this status and the timetable for doing so. This is included in the written feedback provided to the student as part of the formal review. Whenever a student has been deemed to make Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress, the student's whole FAC needs to review progress at the end of the subsequent semester and determine status at that time. The professional judgment of the FAC, upon review of all graduate work undertaken by that student, is paramount in determining whether the student can or cannot be removed from Potentially Unsatisfactory Progress status. While it is expected that the Faculty Advisor will communicate with the student when in this status to provide guidance and feedback on efforts to meet the specified criteria, it is ultimately the student's responsibility to achieve progress that can be deemed Satisfactory Progress.

2.11.3 *Disqualification based on Unsatisfactory Progress*

The process for disqualifying a student based on a determination of Unsatisfactory Progress is described in the Graduate Division Policies & Procedures handbook, which can be found at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>.

2.11.4 *Student Appeals Procedures*

Per the Regulations of the Academic Senate Merced Division, a student who is subject to an impending academic disqualification has 30 calendar days (from the date of the Graduate Dean's Notification of Impending Academic Disqualification) to respond in writing to the recommendation for disqualification. Student appeals will be considered only if based upon appropriate cause, such as: (1) procedural error; (2) judgments based on non-academic criteria; (3) apparent personal bias; (4) specific mitigating circumstances affecting academic performance; or (5) discrimination on the basis of race, religion, sex or other protected status. More details on the appeals process are provided in the Graduate Division Policies & Procedures Handbook.

2.12 Doctoral Degree Committees

2.12.1 *Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC)*

Mentoring and/or guidance of a student are provided by a Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC). This Committee is established jointly by the Faculty Advisor and student, during the fall semester of the 2nd year in the program. The student and members of this Committee should meet once per semester, at a minimum, to provide the appropriate mentoring and/or guidance. This Committee is also charged with formally evaluating the student's progress in the Graduate Group at least annually, following the completion of each spring semester. The FAC must include at least 3 faculty members: It is expected that at least one member of the FAC will be tenured, either at the level of Associate or Full Professor. At least 2 of the committee members must be Public Health Graduate Group core faculty. Members of the FAC may be replaced by agreement among the student and Faculty Advisor. It is the responsibility of the student to notify the committee member being replaced (see section 2.12.5). The FAC oversees the second-year paper, advancement to candidacy and dissertation research and writing.

2.12.2 *Second-year paper stage*

At the second-year paper stage, the FAC is charged with advising on and evaluating the student's second-year paper. The Committee is tasked with awarding either a PhD Pass, Master's Pass, or Fail. In the event that the 2nd year paper is awarded a Masters Pass or a Fail, the FAC will evaluate the revised paper.

2.12.3 *Candidacy stage*

At the Candidacy stage, the FAC is charged with determining the readiness of the student to proceed with the Doctoral Dissertation. In addition to evaluating the student's Qualifying Examinations, the FAC reviews the student's GPA, second-year paper evaluations, and annual reviews, which include evaluations from faculty. After a successful review and assurance that all other program requirements have been completed, the FAC recommends the student for advancement to candidacy. Typically, the Candidacy-stage FAC will include some or all the faculty the student expects to include on their doctoral-stage FAC.

To formally convene the Candidacy-stage FAC, the student should initially propose the Committee membership to her/his Faculty Advisor, who will typically serve as Chair; if approved by the advisor, the student should contact the desired members and invite them to join the Candidacy-stage FAC. Students should be aware that faculty members may in some instances be unable or unwilling to serve. The members of each student's Candidacy-stage FAC are approved by the Chair of the Public Health Graduate Group and the Dean of Graduate Studies prior to the Qualifying Examinations. The membership of the student's Candidacy-stage FAC is listed on the Graduate Division form *Application For Qualifying Examination* (available at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/faculty-staff-resources/forms-publications>)

2.12.4 *Doctoral thesis stage*

The Doctoral-stage FAC (D-FAC) is chaired by the Candidate's Faculty Advisor. The remaining Doctoral-stage FAC members are nominated by the Candidacy Committee with the concurrence of the candidate, the doctoral

committee Chair, and the Graduate Group Chair or designee, as part of the advancement-to-candidacy process. The D-FAC must be composed of no less than three members of the Public Health Graduate Group. An additional outside member (e.g. of another UC Merced Graduate Group or from another university) can serve as a member if approved by the other members of the committee, the Chair of the Graduate Group, and the Graduate Division. The Candidate should initially propose a D-FAC to her/his Faculty Advisor; if supported by the advisor, the Candidate should contact the desired members and invite them to join the Committee. Candidates should be aware that faculty members may in some instances be unable or unwilling to serve. The composition of the D-FAC is formalized through an electronically-submitted form available from the Public Health Graduate Specialist.

The D-FAC may differ in membership from the Candidacy-stage FAC (and earlier FAC incarnations) to accommodate changes in the Candidate’s research interests and available faculty expertise. Changes to the composition of the D-FAC *after* its initial formation must be approved by the Faculty Advisor, the Public Health Graduate Group faculty, and the Graduate Division.

2.12.5 Changing Committee Members

When changing committee members during or after their 2nd year of the doctoral program, students should fill out a new *Faculty Advisory Committee Appointment Form* (see Graduate Student Handbook) and turn in to the SSHA Graduate Specialist.

2.13 Normative Time to Degree

While time to completion of the degree will vary, a general expectation is that students will complete the majority of their course work and complete the Second Year Research Thesis by the end of their 2nd year, pass their Qualifying Exam by the end of the 3rd year, pass their Dissertation Proposal and Defense by the middle of the 4th year, and defend their dissertation in their 5th year of study.

2.14 Typical Timeline and Sequence of Events

Sample Plan of Study for a Public Health Graduate Student					
	Fall Semester	Units*	Spring Semester	Units	Summer
Year One	PH 201: Foundations in Public Health	4	PH 2xx Statistics II:	4	Research for 2nd year thesis
	PH 202: Epidemiology		PH 202: Epidemiology		
	PH 203: Research Methods in Public Health	4	PH 2XX Elective or Topical Area Requirement	4	
	PH 211: Stats I	4	PH 208b: Professionalization Seminar	4	
	PH 208a: Professionalization Seminar	2	<i>First Year Review</i>	2	
				--	
Year Two	PH 204: Environmental Health	4	PH 2XX: Elective or Advanced Methods Requirement	4	Summer Research experience (with faculty, community, gov)
	PH 210a: Grant writing seminar	2	PH 210b: Grant writing seminar	2	
	PH 2XX: Elective or Topical Area Requirement	4	PH 2XX: Elective or Topical Area Requirement	4	
	PH 290: Research units	2	PH 290: Research Units	2	
			<i>Turn in 2nd Year Thesis**</i>		

Year Three	PH 2XX: Elective or Advanced Research Methods Prepare for the Qualifying Examination Formulate a Candidacy Committee		<i>Qualifying Examination</i> Work on Dissertation Proposal		Work on Dissertation Proposal
Year Four	PH 297: Dissertation Research Dissertation Proposal Defense <i>Advance to Candidacy</i>		PH 297: Dissertation Research		
Year Five	PH 297: Dissertation Research		PH 297: Dissertation Research <i>Dissertation should be completed and defended</i> by the end of the spring semester.		

*University-administered fellowships, graduate student researcher appointments, and academic student employee appointments require enrollment in 12 units during regular academic semesters.

**Master’s Pass students depart at the end of their 2nd year

2.15 Sources of Funding

Public Health graduate students are typically funded through a combination of teaching assistant positions, externally-funded graduate student researcher (GSR) positions, and internal or external fellowships. Graduate students who meet the criteria for appointment may be employed in teaching services (as a Teaching Assistant or a Teaching Fellow) for up to 12 semesters (summer appointments are excluded from these limits). Graduate students are typically guaranteed a certain number of semesters of TA positions (e.g., 8) upon admission to the program, although this may change as UC Merced funding opportunities evolve. Individual faculty members with external grants (e.g., through the National Institutes of Health) may support graduate students on those grants through GSR positions. Students may also be nominated or apply for internal UC Merced fellowships (see <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/funding/internal>) or external fellowships from [NIH](#), the [Robert Wood Johnson Foundation](#), the California [Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program](#), and other funding entities. The Public Health Graduate Group strongly encourages students to apply for such internal and external fellowships; the program offers an annual grant-writing class that supports students in crafting fellowship applications.

Following advancement to candidacy, doctoral students who are not California residents will have their Nonresident Tuition reduced by 100 percent for a maximum of three consecutive calendar years. Any such student who continues to be enrolled or who re-enrolls after receiving the reduced fee for three years will be charged the full Nonresident Tuition that is in effect at that time. However, there are exceptions to this policy for unusual circumstances; please refer to the Graduate Policies and Procedures Handbook at <https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/current-students/policies-procedures>.

2.16 Leaving the Program Prior to Completion of the PhD Requirements

Students cannot be admitted into the program with the intent of solely completing the MSPH/terminal masters’ degree. However, some PhD students may choose to leave the program after completion of their second year, or be judged as not meeting the requirements to continue past the master’s degree. Such a judgement is made by the Faculty Advisory Committee and is based on the following criteria:

- receive a Fail grade on the Second Year Thesis

- receive a Master's Pass grade on the Second Year Thesis

In addition, students who successfully complete the Second Year Thesis and continue in the program but who subsequently receive two Unsatisfactory Progress evaluations may be recommended to leave the program by their FAC. Students who do not receive Unsatisfactory Progress evaluations but who wish to leave the program for other reasons may do so via the normal Withdrawal process (see <https://registrar.ucmerced.edu/policies/leaving-uc-merced>).

Students who elect or are judged not to meet the criteria for continuing the PhD degree will be notified in writing by the Graduate Group Chair of Public Health. A copy of the letter will be sent to the Vice Provost and Dean of Graduate Education. In some cases a doctoral student may choose to leave the program with a master's degree only. It is the responsibility of the Public Health Graduate Group to notify the Graduate Division via the Change of Degree form so that the student's record may be updated to reflect the student's degree status. This notice must include the student's written permission to have his/her degree objective changed officially from doctorate to master's.

3. General Information

3.1 PELP, In Absentia and Filing Fee status

Information about PELP (Planned Educational Leave Program), In Absentia (reduced fees when researching out of state), and Filing Fee status can be found in the Graduate Group Policies and Procedures Handbook, available on the Graduate Division Website:

https://graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/sites/graduatedivision.ucmerced.edu/files/page/documents/2020-21_gpph_-_gc_approved_6.30.20.pdf